

# Appendix 4

Contributor Details		Survey Response												
Date of contribution	Login (Screen name)	Contributor Summary (Signup form Qs - Detailed break up on the right > )	Which school are you affiliated with?(deleted)	Are you responding as?(deleted)	Have you read the 'Proposed relocation of Woodfield Schools Primary and Secondary - Information for Schools and Parents/Carers' leaflet?	Are you aware of Coventry City Council's statutory duty in providing sufficient school places?&nbsp;(deleted)	Are you aware of the growing need for special school places across the city?	Do you agree with the need to relocate Woodfield School to a site with improved facilities and capacity for provision to grow?	Please explain why:	Do you agree with the proposal to relocate Woodfield Primary and Secondary Schools on a shared site?	Please explain why:	Do you agree with the disposal of surplus land for the provision of small-scale housing to facilitate the new special school?	Please tell us why:	Do you have any additional comments?
Jul 02 20 12:53:42 pm	Anonymous				Yes		Yes	Yes		Yes		No	There is not enough infrastructure to support additional housing, the schools and doctors surgeries are already oversubscribed and it's impossible to get an appointment. However I'm happy with the site remaining as a school site.	
Jun 18 20 03:29:45 pm	Anonymous				Yes		Yes	Yes		Yes		Yes	The relocation of Woodfield School to the old Woodlands school site would ensure the continuing existence of the green playing fields.  However, as a near neighbour I feel that support for the local community when pupils are travelling to and from the school (such as school staff at bus stops and local shops) would be really useful in ensuring the behaviours of pupils. There are local difficulties currently at local shops with groups of young people causing disruption and low level criminality. Support to ensure this is not exacerbated by the increased numbers of young people in the area would help local residents feel happier about Woodfield relocating.	
Jun 10 20 03:41:44 pm	Anonymous				Yes		Yes	Yes		Yes		Yes		
Jun 13 20 09:52:26 pm	Anonymous				Yes		Yes	No	Smaller, more bespoke schools/ units better support these vulnerable pupils. Yes improved facilities are required at both sites but numbers should not grow. Perhaps another new specialist school should be built in the north of the city to provide additional places.	No	I am familiar with both sites.I strongly believe it would be a mistake to combine the primary and secondary sites. It would be better if the primary school had stronger and closer links with a mainstream primary so the primary pupils had more appropriate and aspirational role models.	Yes		

Jun 13 20 06:55:31 pm	Anonymous		Yes		Yes	Yes		Yes		Yes		Unsure in the wisdom of secondary and primary children having the same access area off Broad lane.
Jun 10 20 04:08:50 pm	Anonymous		Yes		Yes	Yes		Yes		Yes		
Jun 10 20 04:31:09 pm	Anonymous		Yes		Yes	Yes		Yes		No		Why is the new provision purely for boys. With a new site enabling greater pupil numbers, girls should be on the roll!
Jun 11 20 06:45:19 pm	Anonymous		Yes		Yes	Yes		Yes		Yes		At the moment not yet
Jul 08 20 07:09:01 am	Anonymous		Yes		Yes	Yes		Yes		No	Proposed too much development in the area	
Jun 16 20 05:02:04 pm	Anonymous		Yes		Yes	No	There is plenty of land on both school sites to enable expansion in current settings. Why not invest and improve current sites?	No	The primary pupils should be kept completely separate from secondary pupils. To my knowledge, there is no other school in Coventry where primary and secondary are on the same site. Why should Woodfield be any different?	No	There are houses going up everywhere within the city and a huge estate in bannerbrook, the traffic would be even worse than it is now!	I understand that there is a need for more SEMH places for children but completely oppose this idea. Both current school sites are adequate and could just be extended probably saving thousands in the long run. Have residents of Stoneleigh road, hawthorn lane and broad Lane been made aware of these proposals? I think there would be a lot of opposition. Why was Woodlands site not earmarked for Finham Park 2?
Jun 13 20 07:01:31 pm	Anonymous		Yes		Yes	Yes		Yes		No	There are not enough green spaces in the city. Building on the few green spaces we have left seems irresponsible. By all means sell it, but I don't think it should be used for housing.	Having a shared entrance may be problematic especially at the start and end of the school day. Many pupils find these to be difficult times and primary pupils may need to be safeguarded from secondary pupil behaviour.

Jun 13 20  
09:34:43  
pm Anonym  
ous

Jun 13 20  
10:07:37  
pm Anonym  
ous

Jun 14 20  
08:12:44  
am Anonym  
ous

Jun 14 20  
11:59:24  
am Anonym  
ous

		Yes		No	No	The existing school seems big enough and there is a greater need for regular schools	Yes		No	There is a distinct lack of housing in the area already	
		Yes		No	No	Rather than create more space to accommodate more children the system should be in place to help children back to mainstream schools. The woodfield site is unable to control its pupils now let alone with more children. They cannot manage them the children run round the street damaging my property, swearing and threatening myself and other locals and quite frankly we do not want it any more let alone increase numbers. I'd prefer the woodfield school to close completely and move somewhere else! What a waste of a site Woodlands is and would be a shame for it to be wasted on kids that clearly couldnt care less	No	It will be a nightmare for us locals, they will damage property, abuse locals and generally bring down the area.	No	Housing! So not only will they run riot during the day we will also have to put up with the problems they will bring at night too! Great, thanks!	This should not go ahead but we all no regardless of what people say you will do what you want anyway.
		Yes		Yes	Yes		Yes		Yes		
		Yes		Yes	No	The primary site is already in a perfect location which allows children to learn and develop in a safe environment with fabulous outdoor learning opportunities which many of them do not have access to in the inner city areas in which they reside.	No	The primary and secondary sites are currently very different and I believe that the younger pupils need to remain in their own specifically tailored environment. This allows them much more opportunity to flourish and thrive away from any negative influences. A carefully planned transition to the secondary phase can then be allowed to take place.	No	It is completely unnecessary as well as unfeasible. The residents around the Stoneleigh Road site area will greatly oppose any further development in the area as the infrastructure is already overloaded.	Woodfield School, the primary site especially, works perfectly well as a small special school, with an amazing team who are dedicated to giving their pupils the learning experiences they deserve in the best suited environment. To relocate this to a sprawling site combined with secondary pupils would be to the detriment of the entire ethos and success of the school.

Jun 18 20 07:41:29 pm	Anonym ous		Yes		Yes	Yes		Yes		Yes	More SEND places are definitely needed as it is currently difficult to find the right provision for some children e.g. academically able ASD children & children with significant SEND but no behaviour issues who are often left to muddle through in mainstream schools but who might thrive in a different setting. Given the large size of the woodlands site would there be capacity for out of school/community clubs for children with SEND/ASD who attend mainstream schools as this is another area which many families would benefit from. Some sort of SEND family hub maybe? If a site which accommodated 1000 previously is only going to offer 250 spaces initially, is there scope for this to extend as time goes on?
Jun 15 20 08:42:08 am	Anonym ous		Yes		Yes	Yes		Yes		Yes	
Jun 15 20 12:15:29 pm	Anonym ous		Yes		Yes	No	You need to investigate why 900 boys lives were turned upside down because of this council's lack of duty of care for their education first.	No	As above	No	The council is a disgrace in its handling of this saga. I had two boys at Woodlands school, one of whom was due to sit GCSE's. The upheaval and stress caused to them was unforgiveable. I hope you all sleep poorly at night.
Jun 15 20 12:57:47 pm	Anonym ous		Yes		Yes	Yes		Yes		Yes	Although co-loction for primary and secondary school children is clearly an economical necessity, has thought been given to segregating primary children from secondary children? It may be better to avoid undesirable influence of young children by more worldly-wise teenagers. Is there a potential for bullying of younger children.  Has thought been given to constructing a swimming pool?  Has thought been given to constructing a climbing wall?  What level of security will be in place to prevent absconding? Should residents have any concerns about an increase in vandalism and burglaries? Will insurance companies ask us to increase our house insurance premiums?  Will there be a need to increase the provision of a GP's surgery and a dentist's surgery?  Will local shops experience an increase in customers from the school or is a tuck shop planned on-site?  Will traffic increase on Broad Land and Banner Lane or is the school to be 100% residential?  Note: these are just questions and do not necessarily reflect the views of the survey responder.
Jun 16 20 08:11:53 am	Anonym ous		Yes		Yes	Yes		Yes		Yes	Essential to develop SEND capacity within the city to enable educational funding to be more cost effective for all Coventry children

Jun 30 20 10:55:13 am	Anonymous		Yes		Yes	Yes		Yes		Yes		As a locks existing user of Woodlands school at Coventry Dynamite the programme they run has bought an amazing opportunity for my 2 daughters and we are so excited about the proposed plans for our gym. Being based locally with a lot of local children we attend a number of the schools in the area and give free performances. We also attract a lot of visitors from all over the country which brings added business to shops and eateries in the area.
Jun 16 20 06:45:58 pm	Anonymous		Yes		Yes	Yes		No		Yes		
Jun 16 20 07:25:20 pm	Anonymous		Yes		Yes	Yes		Yes		Yes		
Jun 17 20 07:47:51 pm	Anonymous		Yes		Yes	Yes		Yes		Yes		
Jun 18 20 10:28:47 pm	Anonymous		Yes		Yes	No		No		No		
Jun 19 20 10:08:55 pm	Anonymous		Yes		Yes	Yes		Yes		Yes		
Jun 21 20 08:53:02 pm	Anonymous		Yes		No	Yes		Yes		Yes		No options for ticking.... Not Sure

Jun 22 20 12:08:40 pm	Anonymous		Yes		Yes	Yes		Yes		No	Any spare plot of land seems to be set aside for housing.	The site could be renovated for West Coventry Academy, especially as the playing fields are already there and use the West Coventry site to house Woodfield School's relocation.
Jun 22 20 02:26:44 pm	Anonymous		Yes		Yes	Yes		Yes		Yes		
Jun 23 20 02:27:17 pm	Anonymous				No	Yes		Yes		No	Because there is a surfeit of housing in the area with very little community space. Bannerbrook is a new build estate with no community space whatsoever. Part of the site at Woodlands needs to be allocated to community activities. Prior to Woodlands School closure, it was possible to hire buildings on site for community groups and activities. This needs to be given priority in any new development.	
Jun 23 20 02:43:08 pm	Anonymous		No		Yes	Yes		Yes		Yes		SEN CHILDREN SHOULD NOT BE IN MAINSTREAM SCHOOLS - IT IS DETRIMENTAL TO THEIR EDUCATION AND THERE PEERS. THEY DONT ALWAYS GET THE SUPPORT THEY NEED AND IT IMPACTS ON THEIR FUTURES.
Jun 23 20 02:49:03 pm	Anonymous		Yes		Yes	Yes		Yes		Yes		
Jun 28 20 10:24:55 pm	Anonymous		Yes		Yes	Yes		Yes		Yes		Point 20 in the consultation is very important to me, that the provision of existing sports facilities continues.
Jun 29 20 11:00:54 am	Anonymous		Yes		Yes			No	To close to my home. Children allowed out during breaks to wonder around streets	Yes		

Jun 29 20 03:58:52 pm	Anonymous		Yes		Yes	Yes		Yes		Yes		I feel very strongly that the site should still be utilised for community sports. There is a very strong group of teams and clubs using the site and these should be supported to continue as it is beneficial for the health and wellbeing of the wider community.
Jun 30 20 11:15:22 am	Anonymous		No		Yes					No	Not much green belt areas and it provides a fantastic sports and outside space	The cheer facilities are a big part and these should be considered and expanded on as they promote small business and sport in the area.
Jun 30 20 02:11:56 pm	Anonymous		Yes		Yes	Yes		Yes		Yes		It is very important to me that the site maintains community sports facilities
Jun 30 20 06:10:01 pm	Anonymous		Yes		Yes	Yes		Yes		Yes		Hope you can still have provision for Coventry dynamite cheerleaders.
Jul 06 20 05:05:44 pm	Anonymous		Yes		Yes	Yes		Yes		No	I believe the city needs more affordable/social housing which is not envisaged in the housing development in the Stoneleigh Road site.	I think the school should consider becoming co-educational. There is as much need for special provision for girls as for boys.
Jul 21 20 10:40:38 pm	Anonymous		Yes		Yes	Yes		Yes		Yes		This is such a great community within the sports for everyone so important to keep this valuable access for everyone that keeps them fit healthy and good mindset.